

BHARAT DYNAMICS LIMITED

(A Govt. of India Enterprise, Ministry of Defence)
CIN: - L24292TG1970G0I001353

Corporate Office: - Plot No. 38-39, TSFC Building, Near ICICI Towers, Financial District,

Nanakramguda, Hyderabad-500032

Registered Office: - Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad-500058 Tel: 040-23456145; Fax: 040-23456110

E-mail: investors@bdl-india.in; Website: https://bdl-india.in

Ref-BDL/CS/2025/SE-25

To,
Compliance Department
BSE Limited
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Tower,
Dalal Street,
Mumbai- 400001

Scrip Code 541143

To,
Compliance Department
The National Stock Exchange of India Ltd
Exchange Plaza,
Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (East)
Mumbai- 400051
Scrip Code BDL

Subject: Receipt of order for supply of one of the ATGM -Reg

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015, (as amended) we wish to inform that BDL has received an order for supply of one of the ATGM from M/s Armoured Vehicles Nigam Limited (AVNL) for a value of Rs 809 crore (gross). The order has to be executed in a span of 3 years.

For Bharat Dynamics Limited

N. Nagaraja Company Secretary

Date: 25/07/2025

Disclosure of Information pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015 read with SEBI/HO/CFD/PoD2/CIR/P/2023/120 dated July 11, 2023

S. No.	Particulars	Description
1	Name of the entity awarding the order(s) / contract(s);	Armoured Vehicles Nigam Limited
2	Significant terms and conditions of order(s) / contract(s) awarded, in brief;	Confidential in view of National Security
3	Whether order(s) / contract(s) is awarded by domestic / international entity	Domestic
4	Nature of order(s) / contract(s);	Receipt of order
5	Whether domestic or international;	Domestic
6	Time period by which the order(s) /contract(s) is to be	To be executed in a span of 3
	executed	years.
7	Broad consideration or size of the order(s) /contract(s);	Rs. 809 crore (gross)
8	Whether the promoter/ promoter group / group companies have any interest in the entity that awarded the order(s) /contract(s)? If yes, nature of interest and details thereof;	No
9	Whether the order(s) /contract(s) would fall within related party transactions? If yes, whether the same is done at "arm's length".	No